



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,867	10/06/2003	Tetsuya Fukaya	KGMEP015	4382
22434	7590	04/08/2008		
BEYER WEAVER LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 70250			TRAN LIEN, THUY	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/680,867

Applicant(s)

FUKAYA ET AL.

Examiner

Lien T. Tran

Art Unit

1794

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5,9,10,12,13,15,16,18,19,21,22 and 24-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5,9-10,12,13,15-16,18-19,21-22,24-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Claims 1,5,9-10,12-13,15-16,18-19,21-22,24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsen et al in view of Meyer and Goto et al..

Tsen et al disclose a process for the preparation of canned, retorted pastas. The process comprises the steps of placing the pasta in a container along with water, sealing the container, retorting the container at a temperature of at least about 110 degree C and pressures of at least 10 psi for a period of at least 10 minutes.

Tsen et al do not disclose the Fo value, sealing after treatment, increasing and releasing pressure cycles, the temperature and pressure differential, preliminarily sterilizing the surface of the pasta and adjusting the pH to 2.5-4.2. Tsen et al. are silent about water forming a foamed portion covering floating portion of the pasta.

Meyer teaches a method for sterilizing food using repeated cycles of increasing and releasing the pressure (see col. 2 line 45 through col. 3 line 22)

Goto et al disclose a process for preparing pasta sauce contained in a container. They teach sterilization treatment can be done before sealing the container, simultaneously with sealing the container or after sealing the container..

The limitation of " while prevent specks from appearing thereon" is in the preamble and does not limit the claim. In any event, Tsen et al teach retorting the pasta at about the same temperature as claimed (about 110 degree C is closed to the claimed 105 plus or minus 3 degree C); thus, it is obvious the pasta is boiling at about the same temperature as claimed so the pasta will obviously have the property of preventing specks from appearing. The same is true with the water forming a foamed portion covering the pasta because the pasta in Tsen et al is retorting at about the same

Art Unit: 1794

temperature as claimed. The boiling will obviously cause the foaming and since the pasta is lighter, it will float and be covered by the foam. The F_0 value can vary depending on the extent and degree of sterilization or pasteurization. In absence of showing of criticality or unexpected result, the F_0 value a result-effective variable which can readily be determined by one skilled in the art to obtain the most optimum sterilization. In the Tsen et al process, it is obvious the pressure is released after retorting. As to the repeated increasing and releasing cycles, the concept of using repeated cycles of pressurization is known in the art as shown by Meyer. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use repeated cycles of treatment depending on the degree of sterilization wanted. The extent of sterilization can vary depending on the type of food and the microbial activity targeted. For example, some microorganism can survive high temperature and high pressure for a period of time; in such instance, it is necessary to repeat the treatment cycle to ensure complete destruction of the microbial activity. The use of repeated cycles, number of cycles, the pressure and temperature are all result-effective variable which can readily be determined by one skilled in the art through routine experimentation so that the most optimum result will be obtained. It would have been obvious to preliminarily sterilize the surface of the pasta and to steam after sealing to further enhance the shelf stability of the product. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to seal after or before retorting because both alternatives are known to be done as shown by Goto et al. The concept of using low pH to help in reducing sterilization or pasteurization treatment is well known in the art; it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use low pH water so that the sterilizing process

can be shorten or less severe condition is used. It would have been within the skill of one in the art to determine the proper ratio of pasta and water.

Applicant's comment about the new limitation is addressed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

March 31, 2008

/Lien T Tran/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Art Unit: 1794
